

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CURTIS J. WILLIAMS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0164 JAM EFB P

12 vs.

13 R. W. SANDHAM, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action  
17 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
18 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

19 On November 16, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations  
20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any  
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Defendant  
22 Steen has filed objections to the findings and recommendations, which defendants Mangis,  
23 Sandham and Rohlfing have joined.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule  
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the  
26 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

1 proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 16, 2011, are adopted in  
4 full; and

5 2. Defendants' August 2, 2011 request for the entry of summary judgment in their  
6 favor at the pretrial conference is denied.

7 DATED: March 5, 2012

8  
9 /s/ John A. Mendez  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26